

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/645,144   | 08/20/2003      | Bryan Richards       | 20499.CIP              | 7153             |
| 20551  | 7590 07/06/2005 |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP.<br>8180 SOUTH 700 EAST, SUITE 200 |                 |                      | JULES, FRANTZ F        |                  |
|  | P.O. BOX 1219   |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| SANDY, UT  | 84070           |                      | 3617                   |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/06/200 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|--|--|--|---|
| _  |  | 10/645,144   | RICHARDS, BRYAN   |
| •  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|  |  | Frantz F. Jules  | 3617  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Renly   | pears on the cover sheet with t  | he correspondence address   |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or property is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply<br>by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS<br>b. cause the application to become ABAND  | be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |  |  |   |
| • —  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u> This action is FINAL: 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the  | s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters  | , prosecution as to the merits is   |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |  |   |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)⊠  | Claim(s) 1.3-14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-16 and 18-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 5 and 17 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.   |   |
| Applicat   | ion Papers   |  |   |
| 10)  | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification. | cepted or b) objected to by a drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |
| а)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document S. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureates the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received.  Its have been received in Applority documents have been received in the received in th | lication No ceived in this National Stage   |
| 2) Noti<br>3) Info   | nt(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08  er No(s)/Mail Date  |  | nmary (PTO-413)<br>fail Date<br>mal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |

Art Unit: 3617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 9-14, 16, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobler (US 4,023,753) in view of Pardes (US 6,263,799B1).

  Dobler discloses an elongated guideway comprising a riding surface having a transit lane configured to accommodate a plurality of rider autonomous vehicles, a plurality of rider access portals disposed in a side of the guideway, see fig. 5, configured for transporting riders, the autonomous vehicles having rider entry doors configured to selectively align with any one of the rider access portals and allow the riders to enter the vehicle when the vehicle stops in the guideway adjacent to one of the guideways, a control system configured to automatically direct one of the plurality of autonomous vehicles to a selected rider access portals in response to a request from one of the riders and automatically guide the one autonomous vehicle along the guideway to adestiantion location selected by the rider.

Minovitch discloses all of the features as disclosed above but does not disclose a system comprising a transition lane substantially parallel to the transit lane and autolink control system. The general concept of providing a transition lane substantially parallel to a transit lane and auto link control system in a transportation system is well known in

Art Unit: 3617

the art as illustrated by Pardes which discloses the teaching of a transition lane which is substantially parallel to a transit lane and auto link control system in a transit system, see fig. 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dobler to include the use of a transition lane substantially parallel to a transit lane and auto link control system in his advantageous transit system as taught by Pardes in order to allow for switching of the vehicle for dropping of passengers.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobler (US 4,023,753) and Pardes (US 6,263,799B1) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Ledwinka et al (US 2003/0094116 A1).

Dobler and Pardes teach all the limitations of claim 7 except for a transit system comprising stairs inside the vehicles to allow riders to climb into the vehicles. The general concept of providing stairs inside a vehicle to allow riders to climb into the vehicle is well known in the art as illustrated by Ledwinka et al which disclose the teaching of stairs inside the vehicles to allow riders to climb into the vehicles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dobler and Ledwinka et al to include the use of stairs inside the vehicles to allow riders to climb into the vehicles in his advantageous transit system in order to maximize the use of the railcar.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobler (US 4,023,753) and Pardes (US 6,263,799B1) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Imada et al (JP11351893 A).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,144

**Art Unit: 3617** 

Dobler and Pardes teach all the limitations of claim 7 except for a transit system comprising a toll collection system associated with a control system. The general concept of providing a toll collection system associated with a control system is well known in the art as illustrated by Imada et al which disclose the teaching of a toll collection system associated with a control system in a transit system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify.

Dobler and Ledwinka et al to include the use of a toll collection system associated with a control system in his advantageous transit system as taught by Imada et al in order to reduce confusion and the time spent in line for paying toll.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 272-6681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 272-6684. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/645,144

Art Unit: 3617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

June 27, 2005

FRANTZ F. JULES
PRIMARY EXAMINER